Comments on Julia’s N-Back Calculations

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | False Alarms | Hit Rate | Misses |
| 2-Back Practice | 14  Result matches manual calculation | 6  Results match | 6  Results match |
| 3-Back Practice | 16  Result matches manual calculation.  Feedback read 6 in 3-back practice (1) but 9 would be correct | 3  Results match | 6  Results match |
| 2-Back Experimental | 17  Julia noted she is unsure if 2-back experimental (1) was correct but noted 7 for a total false alarm rate of 16. She mentioned she lost count of incorrect hits here  Script calculated value is 17. | 12  Results match | 12  Results match |
| 3-Back Experimental | 15  Julia noted 5 for 3-back experimental (1) which would lead to total of 14 but script calculation produced 1 more false alarm | 11  Results match | 12  Results match |

Comments:

Overall, it seems that for all measures, the manually calculated values match up with those calculated by the script. Might need to look into why false alarm feedback is suspected to not always being displayed correctly.